SANFORD D. BISHOP, JR.

SECOND DISTRICT. GEORGIA

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

SUBCOMMITTEES
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

VA, HUD, AND INDEPENDENT AGENCIES

WASHINGTONOFFICE

2429 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-1002 PHONE (202) 225-3631 FAX (202) 225-2203



ORIGINAL

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515—1002

October 2, 2003

P3-03

DISTRICT OFFICES 072 ALBANY 235 ROOSEVELT AVENUE / O ALBANY TOWERS, SUITE 114 ALBANY, GA 31701 PHONE (229) 439-8067 FAX (229) 436-2099 COLUMBUS 18 NINTH STREET, SUITE 201 COLUMBUS, GA 31901 PHONE (706) 320-9477 FAX (706) 320-9479 DAWSON CITY HALL **DAWSON, GA 31742** PHONE (229) 995-3991 FAX (229) 995-4894 VALDOSTA 401 North Patterson Street FEDERAL BUILDING, ROOM 255 VALDOSTA, GA 31601

PHONE (229) 247-9705 FAX (229) 241-1035

ac:020/030

Mr. Bryant L. VanBrakle Secretary Federal Maritime Commission 800 North Capitol Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20573

RE: Petition P3-03

Dear Mr. VanBrakle:

MECHINEL

03 007 -8 PM 1:52

It is my understanding that UPS has filed for an exemption from the prohibition on Non-Vessel Operating Common Carriers (NVOCCs) from entering into confidential contracts with their customers. Due to the operational characteristics of UPS and recent developments within the ocean-shipping marketplace, the antiquated regulatory scheme governing NVOCCs should be revised. I write in strong support of the UPS petition currently pending before the FMC.

During consideration of the *Ocean Shipping Reform* Act (OSRA) revisions of 1998, Congress carefully considered all aspects of the ocean shipping industry including the role of NVOCCs. Based on the nature of ocean shipping at the time, Congress determined that NVOCCs should be regulated differently than vessel operators. In the late 1990s, most NVOCCS were small enterprises that neither owned ocean vessels nor the cargo being shipped. In order to protect shippers and to guarantee liability coverage, Congress determined that NVOCCs should operate under a published tariff system when dealing with their customers.

However, the state of the U.S ocean shipping industry has changed dramatically since passage of OSRA. There has been unprecedented **consolidation** among ocean carriers resulting in the loss of major U.S. flagged carriers. In an effort to offer customers a full range of services, these very same carriers have created vertically integrated logistics companies that now compete with NVOCCs.

UPS operates the most sophisticated, integrated, intermodal transportation network in the world, which includes air, rail and surface and NVOCC transportation, and is deemed a "carrier" in the surface and airfreight industries. Furthermore, UPS makes significant annual capital investments to its' asset-based transportation infrastructure. These facts alone set UPS apart from the companies that first raised concerns about the regulatory status of NVOCCs.

October 2, 2003 Mr. Bryant L. Van Brakle Page 2

The UPS petition, citing the recent evolution of the ocean-shipping marketplace, is precisely the reason Congress granted such broad exemption authority to the FMC. While anticipating dramatic changes in the ocean shipping industry with the passage of OSRA, Congress did not contemplate how fast or how smoothly the market could adapt to these changes. By granting this petition, the FMC will acknowledge these changes, level the playing field between NVOCCs and vessel operators, and ultimately benefit ocean-shipping consumers around the world.

I am hopeful the FMC will give the UPS petition full and fair consideration consistent with applicable law and regulations and render an equitable decision based upon the merits of the UPS case.

Sincerely ye

Sanford D Bishop, Jr Member of Congress

SDB: nc